Appln. S.N. 10/696,335 Amdt. dated October 23, 2007 Reply to Final Office Action of August 23, 2007 Docket No. 200313765-1 Page 5 of 5

REMARKS

Entry of the foregoing amendments to the application is requested on the grounds that claims 52, 82 and 83 patentably distinguish over the cited art of record, as they constitute the claims that are allowed by the Examiner in the present application. The foregoing amendments also include claims 53, 54, 55, 68 and 70 now depending from claim 52; and claims 84 and 85 now depending from claim 82. New claims 86 and 87 (whose subject matter is identical to that of claims 84 and 85) have been added to depend from claim 83. All other claims are cancelled herein. No new issues have been added which would require further consideration and/or search, nor has any new matter been added.

The Final Office Action of August 23, 2007 has been received and carefully reviewed. Upon entry of this Amendment, allowed claims 51, 82 and 83, and claims 53-55, 68, 70, 84, 85 and new claims 86 and 87 depending from one of claims 51, 82 and 83, remain in the application.

It is submitted that, through this Amendment, Applicants' invention as set forth in these claims is now in a condition suitable for allowance. Should the Examiner believe otherwise, it is submitted that the claims as amended qualify for entry as placing the application in better form for appeal. Further and favorable consideration is requested. If the Examiner believes it would expedite prosecution of the above-identified application, the Examiner is cordially invited to contact Applicants' Attorney at the below-listed telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,

DIERKER & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

/Julia Church Dierker/

Julia Church Dierker Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 33368 (248) 649-9900, ext. 25 juliad@troypatent.com

3331 West Big Beaver Rd., Suite 109 Troy, Michigan 48084-2813 Dated: October 23, 2007 JCD/WBH/JRK/slc